

Subject:	6TH EUROPEAN S&A and 3RD EUROPEAN S&A JUNIOR CHAMPIONSHIPS	Annex No. -	19
Author:	Günter Berendt, Chief Judge	Agenda ref. -	13.2
Date:	28 September 2011	Page	1 of 6



chief judge's standard report

Competition:	6th European Freefall Style & Accuracy Landing Parachuting Championship & 3rd FAI Junior European Freefall Style & Accuracy Landing Parachuting Championships														
Event:	Freefall Style & Accuracy Landing														
Place:	KIKINDA / Serbia														
Date:	20.08. - 28.08.2011														
Panel of Judges:	<p>Chief Judge Günter Berendt Germany</p> <p>Ass. CJ Zlatko Necak Serbia</p> <table> <tr> <td>EJ AL Ulf Tingnert SWE</td><td>EJ FF Style Michel Jara FRA</td></tr> <tr> <td>Bruna de Paoli ITA</td><td>Sabine Kuchner AUT</td></tr> <tr> <td>Mario Fabretti ITA</td><td>Anatolijs Samarins LIT</td></tr> <tr> <td>Vladimir Anisimov RUS</td><td>Silvije Mikuska CRO</td></tr> <tr> <td>Jana Matjkinova SLK</td><td>Mariusz Puchala POL</td></tr> <tr> <td>Volodimir Zlotnyk UKR</td><td>Zelijko Prajz SWE</td></tr> <tr> <td>Bob King GBR</td><td>Reijo Aittomaki FIN</td></tr> </table>	EJ AL Ulf Tingnert SWE	EJ FF Style Michel Jara FRA	Bruna de Paoli ITA	Sabine Kuchner AUT	Mario Fabretti ITA	Anatolijs Samarins LIT	Vladimir Anisimov RUS	Silvije Mikuska CRO	Jana Matjkinova SLK	Mariusz Puchala POL	Volodimir Zlotnyk UKR	Zelijko Prajz SWE	Bob King GBR	Reijo Aittomaki FIN
EJ AL Ulf Tingnert SWE	EJ FF Style Michel Jara FRA														
Bruna de Paoli ITA	Sabine Kuchner AUT														
Mario Fabretti ITA	Anatolijs Samarins LIT														
Vladimir Anisimov RUS	Silvije Mikuska CRO														
Jana Matjkinova SLK	Mariusz Puchala POL														
Volodimir Zlotnyk UKR	Zelijko Prajz SWE														
Bob King GBR	Reijo Aittomaki FIN														
Equipment Used	<p>CAMETRIX SYSTEM</p> <p>For FCE the Cametrix System is too complicated, there are too many errors and it is not approved.</p>														

Subject:	6TH EUROPEAN S&A and 3RD EUROPEAN S&A JUNIOR CHAMPIONSHIPS	Annex No. -	19
Author:	Günter Berendt, Chief Judge	Agenda ref. -	13.2
Date:	28 September 2011	Page	2 of 6

	See my report CAMETRIX dated 06.09.2011.
Details of the Judges Work: (including judging statistics)	<p>All judges fulfilled their work in a perfect way. The team of judges including my Assistant CJ Zlatko Necak , worked very close to each other both during competition and during spare time.</p> <p>During training all judges made the Freefall Style test (except Vladimir Anisimov, he made in July the CISM test). All judges past the test with more than 90% !!</p> <p>Results were handled by Bob King's wife Hillary GBR. The jump order in each round, score sheets and results were published almost immediately after each round was finished.</p> <p>Participants: 55 Men 31 Women 15 Men Juniors 7 Women Juniors</p> <p>Total number of jumps AL 927 all rounds including 17 rejumps and FF Style 262 all rounds including 5 rejumps.</p>
Recommendations for Organisers:	<p>The organizer - Aero Club of Kikinda – tried hard to stage the EPC 2011.</p> <p>But the staff had no experience with a competition of this kind.</p> <p>They were over-challenged and the staff had to do several things simultaneously, which of course did not work. Insufficient staff caused many problems.</p> <p>So I took the whole thing in my hands and organized the entire competition:</p> <p>The daily briefing, the briefing of the pilots, daily running of the competition and the introduction of the manifest with start lists and checklists/boarding lists for Freefall Style.</p> <p>Ensuring the results, etc.</p> <p>According to Bulletin No. 1 and 2, we were housed in the four star</p>

Subject:	6TH EUROPEAN S&A and 3RD EUROPEAN S&A JUNIOR CHAMPIONSHIPS	Annex No. -	19
Author:	Günter Berendt, Chief Judge	Agenda ref. -	13.2
Date:	28 September 2011	Page	3 of 6

	<p>hotel "Narvik".</p> <p>But I suppose that it was a “four-star hotel” thirty years ago! It was a big construction site, it was dirty, the elevators worked only partially.</p> <p>The rooms were not at all conform to the default imagines of the IPC. See KIKINDA report and pictures dated 06.09. 2011.</p>
Rule Changes Proposals:	<p>Rule changes were discussed in the end of competition about Overall. This will be further discussed within the Freefall Style & Accuracy Landing Committee.</p>
Personal remarks:	<p>In my opinion, organizers should perform an international competition (WC) under the supervision of the IPC before they get a FCE.</p> <p>Before a new System will be used for all disciplines in skydiving, it should be tested sufficiently during small (national and international) competitions. It is important that a specialist in each discipline has to participate in order to remedy errors and deficiencies, so that after a test phase (of about two to three years), the system works flawlessly.</p>
<p><i>According to Sporting Code Section 5, 6.9.1 (11) this is to be sent to the Chair of the Judges Committee and the IPC Technical Secretary within 30 days of the competition completion</i></p>	
Signature:	Günter Berendt, Chief Judge
Date:	28.09.2011
Chair Judges Committee: Pia Berggren – piaberggren@telia.com	
IPC Technical Secretary: Liam McNulty – lmcnulty@skydiveireland.ie	

Subject:	6TH EUROPEAN S&A and 3RD EUROPEAN S&A JUNIOR CHAMPIONSHIPS	Annex No. -	19
Author:	Günter Berendt, Chief Judge	Agenda ref. -	13.2
Date:	28 September 2011	Page	4 of 6

Report European Championships in Kikinda 2011

First I would like to report on the organizer and then on the use of the Cametrix system.

The organizer - Aero Club of Kikinda – tried hard to stage the EPC 2011.

But the staff had no experience with a competition of this kind.

They were over-challenged and the staff had to do several things simultaneously, which of course did not work. Insufficient staff caused many problems.

So I took the whole thing in my hands and organized the entire competition:

The daily briefing, the briefing of the pilots, daily running of the competition and the introduction of the manifest with start lists and checklists/boarding lists for Freefall Style.

Ensuring the results, etc.

On 20.08.2011, during the training I noticed that the Cametrix system could supply only Individual results, but no team results, no individual combination and no team combination.

I knew that Bob King (UK), who was a selected judge, wrote a computer program for this competition (for Weckbecker system). I asked him if we were allowed to use his program. His wife Hillary could input the results into the computer.

Bob agreed, so I could guarantee that we could deliver the results in time.

After the first day of competition, I realized that we had a lot of problems and I tried to solve them. However, the cooperation with the staff of Cametrix was not possible because they did not listen to me (Jo Bell).

Therefore I made report to the jury on 22.08.

I brought up the problems and asked for permission to use the computer program of Bob King. I also asked for permission to take an own computer to show the backup (DVD) for slow motion. This was granted to me by the jury.

After that things were improved in Freefall Style, but there were still to many errors. The event judge Michel Jara and the experienced judges always found solutions to provide an evaluation of the jumps (see Cametrix Freefall Style report).

On 23.08. I proposed to the organizers that the awards ceremony for all the individual winner should be performed on 24.08. after the competition on the airfield.

They accepted my proposal and the awards ceremony took place on the next day. It took about an hour. All competitors were happy.

The competition for men on 24.08 could not be completed because the wind speed for the final jumping was over limit in.

On 25.08 in the morning we finished the complete competition.

We could be very fortunate to be ready, because from Thursday afternoon till Saturday the wind was too strong.

<i>Subject:</i>	6TH EUROPEAN S&A and 3RD EUROPEAN S&A JUNIOR CHAMPIONSHIPS	<i>Annex No. -</i>	19
<i>Author:</i>	Günter Berendt, Chief Judge	<i>Agenda ref. -</i>	13.2
<i>Date:</i>	28 September 2011	<i>Page</i>	5 of 6

Public viewing

Although required by the IPC the public viewing did not take place at all.

Therefore it could not be tested.

There were only a very few spectators (about 20 – 50 a day) at these European Championships.

Also, I saw no posters or notices for these Championships, neither on the street nor in the town of Kikinda.

Accommodation

According to Bulletin No. 1 and 2, we were housed in the four star hotel "Narvik".

But I suppose that it was a "four-star hotel" thirty years ago!

It was a big construction site, it was dirty, the elevators worked only partially.

The rooms were not at all conform to the default imagines of the IPC.

In my room, the cabinets were broken, the phone did not work, the carpet was really dirty and torn.

The bathroom was also dirty. Dirty water dripped from the ceiling. The water we wanted to use was brown and smelled. I bought bottled water for brushing teeth and shower. (See pictures in the attachment)

For three days we had only cold water in the morning, which came without pressure from the line.

Since 1988, I participated in many FCE, but that was the worst level I've ever seen!

The food and lunches packed were ok.

In my opinion, organizers should perform an international competition (WC) under the supervision of the IPC before they get a FCE.

The FAI Controller was not housed in our building. He had his accommodation in a guest house opposite. This was also noticed by the competitors and they asked me why.

Transport from hotel to airport and back

The daily transport was ensured and worked well.

Closing Ceremony

It was well organized and with the awards ceremony it took about one and a half hours. Farewell dinner did not exist. Dinner was before or after the closing ceremony.

Transfer to Belgrade Airport went well.

<i>Subject:</i>	6TH EUROPEAN S&A and 3RD EUROPEAN S&A JUNIOR CHAMPIONSHIPS	<i>Annex No. -</i>	19
<i>Author:</i>	Günter Berendt, Chief Judge	<i>Agenda ref. -</i>	13.2
<i>Date:</i>	28 September 2011	<i>Page</i>	6 of 6

CAMETRIX SYSTEM

I did not feel well before the competition, because I haven't seen this system before.

On the question of John Hitchen in March 2011, what do you think about the system Cametrix. I answered him, that I've never seen it, so I can say nothing. On 18.08. I wanted to get an introduction to the Cametrix, but the FAI controller informed me that the system (for Freefall Style) was still not established. So we managed ourselves with a projector and a personal laptop in order to start a training.

On 19.08. the Cametrix system still didn't work. I made a Freefall Style test with all judges (thirty jumps) for their FAI judges qualification.

In the afternoon the wind became stronger and the contestants began a discussion with the competitors: did the anemometer show the right wind speed? The competitors had no chance to reach the target, despite the fact that the display showed only wind speed between 5 and 6 m/s.

Afterwards I went to check video camera (about 3 km away). In the tent – close to the video camera – I found a certified hand anemometer. I took this hand anemometer to the Accuracy

Landing Zone.

The EJ Ulf Tingnert and myself checked the anemometer. We came to the conclusion that the Cametrix anemometer didn't show correctly the wind speed. Even the operator (Andy) said that he felt that there was much more wind than Cametrix anemometer showed.

The showed in the height of 2 meters more wind speed than the Cametrix anemometer in 6 meters height.

The difference between the hand anemometer and the anemometer of Cametrix by 4 m/s was between 1 and 2m/s.

During the first briefing I decided that the wind speed limit is set 6 m/s for the competition.

In cooperation with the Event Judges Ulf Tingnert (Accuracy Landing) and Michel Jara (Freefall Style) and the panel of judges the report on Cametrix was created (see the attached Cametrix Report).

Conclusion

Before a new System will be used for all disciplines in skydiving, it should be tested sufficiently during small (national and international) competitions. It is important that a specialist in each discipline has to participate in order to remedy errors and deficiencies, so that after a test phase (of about two to three years), the system works flawlessly.